

1 JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General  
2 SCOTT G. STEWART  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
3 WILLIAM C. PEACHEY  
Director  
4 Office of Immigration Litigation  
5 WILLIAM C. SILVIS  
Assistant Director  
6 Office of Immigration Litigation  
7 SARAH B. FABIAN  
Senior Litigation Counsel  
8 NICOLE MURLEY  
Trial Attorney  
9 Office of Immigration Litigation  
10 U.S. Department of Justice  
11 Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
12 Washington, DC 20442  
13 Telephone: (202) 532-4824  
14 Fax: (202) 616-8962  
15 ADAM L. BRAVERMAN  
United States Attorney  
16 SAMUEL W. BETTWY  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
17 California Bar No. 94918  
18 Office of the U.S. Attorney  
19 880 Front Street, Room 6293  
20 San Diego, CA 92101-8893  
21 619-546-7125  
619-546-7751 (fax)  
22 *Attorneys for Federal Respondents-*  
23 *Defendants*

Lee Gelernt\*  
Judy Rabinovitz\*  
Anand Balakrishnan\*  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION  
125 Broad St., 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
T: (212) 549-2660  
F: (212) 549-2654  
*lgelernt@aclu.org*  
*jrabinovitz@aclu.org*  
*abalakrishnan@aclu.org*

Bardis Vakili (SBN 247783)  
ACLU FOUNDATION OF  
SAN DIEGO & IMPERIAL  
COUNTIES  
P.O. Box 87131  
San Diego, CA 92138-7131  
T: (619) 398-4485  
F: (619) 232-0036  
*bvakili@aclusandiego.org*

Stephen B. Kang (SBN 292280)  
Spencer E. Amdur (SBN 320069)  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION  
39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
T: (415) 343-1198  
F: (415) 395-0950  
*skang@aclu.org*  
*samdur@aclu.org*

*Attorneys for Petitioners-*  
*Plaintiffs*  
*\*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 MS. L, et al.,

Case No. 18cv428 DMS MDD

4 Petitioners-Plaintiffs,

**JOINT STATUS REPORT**

5 vs.

6 U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS  
7 ENFORCEMENT, et al.,

8 Respondents-Defendants.  
9  
10

11 The Court ordered the parties to file a joint status report (“JSR”) by 3:00pm on  
12 June 6, 2019, in anticipation of the status conference scheduled at 1:00pm on June  
13 7, 2019. The parties submit this joint status report in accordance with the Court’s  
14 instruction.  
15

16  
17 **I. DEFENDANTS’ POSITIONS**

18 **A. Update on Reunifications for the Original Class Period**

19 As of June 4, 2019, Defendants have discharged 2,773 of 2,814 possible children  
20 of potential class members for the original class period. That is, Defendants have  
21 discharged 2,773 of the 2,814 possible children of potential class members who were  
22 in the care of the Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) as of June 26, 2018. *See*  
23 Table 1: Reunification Update. This is an increase of seven discharges reported in  
24 Table 1 since the JSR filed on May 7, 2019. *See* ECF No. 407. One of the seven  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 children was reunified with the separated parent;<sup>1</sup> and the remaining six were  
2 discharged under other appropriate circumstances, such as discharges to other  
3 appropriate sponsors.

4  
5 Currently, there is one child of a class member from the original class period who  
6 remains in ORR care and is proceeding towards reunification or other appropriate  
7 discharge. This child has a parent who departed from the United States, but the  
8 Steering Committee has advised that resolution of parental preference will be  
9 delayed. Defendants are supporting the efforts of the Steering Committee to obtain  
10 a statement of intent from the parent. Once Defendants receive notice from the  
11 Steering Committee, Defendants will either reunify the child or move him into the  
12 TVPRA sponsorship process, consistent with the intent of the parent.

13  
14  
15 The current reunification status for the 2,814 children ages 0 through 17 for the  
16 original class period, who have been the focus of Defendants' reporting to date, is  
17 further summarized in Table 1. The data in Table 1 reflects approximate numbers on  
18 these children maintained by ORR at least as of June 4, 2019. These numbers are  
19 dynamic and continue to change as more reunifications, determinations on class  
20 membership, or discharges occur.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25 

---

<sup>1</sup> This parent was in ICE custody as of the filing of the previous JSR, *see* ECF No. 407, when he  
26 was reported as "out of class" due to fitness concerns. However, he was subsequently discharged  
27 from ICE custody into the interior of the United States. Upon his discharge from ICE custody,  
28 ORR immediately reunified the parent with his child.

**Table 1: Reunification Update**

<u>Description</u>	<u>Phase 1 (Under 5)</u>	<u>Phase 2 (5 and above)</u>	<u>Total</u>
Total number of possible children of potential class members	107	2707	2814
<b><u>Discharged Children</u></b>			
Total children discharged from ORR care:	106	2667	2773
• Children discharged by being reunified with separated parent	82	2085	2167
• Children discharged under other appropriate circumstances (these include discharges to other sponsors [such as situations where the child's separated parent is not eligible for reunification] or children that turned 18)	24	582	606
<b><u>Children in ORR Care, Parent in Class</u></b>			
Children in care where the parent is not eligible for reunification <u>or</u> is not available for discharge at this time:	0	1	1
• Parent presently outside the U.S.	0	1	1
○ Steering Committee has advised that resolution will be delayed	0	1	1
• Parent presently inside the U.S.	0	0	0
○ Parent in other federal, state, or local custody	0	0	0
○ Parent red flag case review ongoing – safety and well being	0	0	0
<b><u>Children in ORR Care, Parent out of Class</u></b>			
Children in care where further review shows they were not separated from parents by DHS	1	6	7
Children in care where a final determination has been made they cannot be reunified because the parent is unfit or presents a danger to the child	0	14	14
Children in care with parent presently departed from the United States whose intent not to reunify has been confirmed by the ACLU	0	17	17

1	Children in care with parent in the United States who has indicated an intent not to reunify	0	1	1
2	Children in care for whom the Steering Committee could not obtain parental preference	0	1	1

**B. Update on Removed Class Members for the Original Class Period**

3  
4  
5  
6 The current reunification status of removed class members for the original class  
7 period is set forth in Table 2 below. The data presented in this Table 2 reflects  
8 approximate numbers maintained by ORR as of at least June 4, 2019. These  
9 numbers are dynamic and continue to change as the reunification process moves  
10 forward.  
11

**Table 2: Reunification of Removed Class Members**

<u>REUNIFICATION PROCESS</u>	<u>REPORTING METRIC</u>	<u>NO.</u>	<u>REPORTING PARTY</u>
12	13	14	15
<b>STARTING POPULATION</b>	Children in ORR care with parents presently departed from the U.S.	19	Defs.
<b>PROCESS 1: Identify &amp; Resolve Safety/Parentage Concerns</b>	Children with no “red flags” for safety or parentage	19	Defs.
<b>PROCESS 2: Establish Contact with Parents in Country of Origin</b>	Children with parent contact information identified	19	Defs.
	Children with no contact issues identified by plaintiff or defendant	19	Defs. & Pls.
	Children with parent contact information provided to ACLU by Government	19	Defs.
<b>PROCESS 3: Determine</b>	Children for whom ACLU has communicated parental intent for minor:	17	Pls.

1	<b>Parental Intention for Minor</b>	• Children whose parents waived reunification	17	Pls.
2		• Children whose parents chose reunification in country of origin	0	Pls.
3		• Children proceeding outside the reunification plan	0	Pls.
4		Children for whom ACLU has not yet communicated parental intent for minor:	1	Pls.
5		• Children with voluntary departure orders awaiting execution	0	Defs.
6		• Children with parental intent to waive reunification documented by ORR	0	Defs.
7		• Children whose parents ACLU has been in contact with for 28 or more days without intent determined	0	Pls.
8		Children whose parents steering committee could not obtain parental preference	1	Pls
9	<b>PROCESS 4:</b>			
10	<b>Resolve Immigration Status of Minors to Allow Reunification</b>	Total children cleared Processes 1-3 with confirmed intent for reunification in country of origin	0	Pls.
11		• Children in ORR care with orders of voluntary departure	0	Defs.
12		• Children in ORR care w/o orders of voluntary departure	0	Defs.
13		○ Children in ORR care whose immigration cases were dismissed	0	Defs.
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

**C. Update Regarding Government's Implementation of Settlement Agreement**

<b>SETTLEMENT PROCESS</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>
<b>Election Forms<sup>2</sup></b>	Total number of executed election forms received by the Government	<b>365 (234 Parents/131 Children)<sup>3</sup></b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number who elect to receive settlement procedures</li> </ul>	<b>206 (133 Parents/73 Children)</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number who waive settlement procedures</li> </ul>	<b>159 (101 Parents/58 Children)<sup>4</sup></b>
<b>Interviews</b>	Total number of class members who received interviews	<b>141<sup>5</sup></b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parents who received interviews</li> </ul>	<b>74</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children who received interviews</li> </ul>	<b>67</b>
<b>Decisions</b>	Total number of CFI/RFI decisions issued for parents by USCIS	<b>66<sup>6</sup></b>

<sup>2</sup> The number of election forms reported here is the number received by the Government as of May 28, 2019.

<sup>3</sup> The number of children's election forms is lower than the number of parent election forms because in many instances a parent electing settlement procedures submitted an election form on his or her own behalf or opposing counsel e-mailed requesting settlement implementation for the entire family, but no separate form was submitted on behalf of the child.

<sup>4</sup> The number of children's waivers is lower because some parents have submitted waivers only for themselves and some parents who have waived reunification also waived settlement procedures and have therefore not provided a form for the child.

<sup>5</sup> Some individuals could not be interviewed because of rare languages; these individuals were placed in Section 240 proceedings. This number includes credible fear and reasonable fear interviews, as well as affirmative asylum interviews.

<sup>6</sup> This number is the aggregate of the number of parents whose negative CFI/RFI determinations were reconsidered, number of parents whose negative CFI/RFI determination was unchanged, and individuals who were referred to 240 proceedings without interview because of a rare language. This number excludes 12 cases where a parent already had an NTA from ICE or was already ordered removed by an IJ (which are included in the interview totals).

1		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of parents determined to establish CF or RF upon review by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>66<sup>7</sup></b>
2			
3		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of parents whose CF or RF finding remains negative upon review by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>0</b>
4			
5			
6		Total number of CFI decisions issued for children by USCIS	<b>73<sup>8</sup></b>
7			
8		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of children determined to establish CF by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>73<sup>9</sup></b>
9			
10		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of children determined not to establish CF by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>0</b>
11			
12		Total number of affirmative asylum decisions by USCIS	<b>2</b>
13			
14		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of parents granted asylum by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>1</b>
15			
16		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of children granted asylum by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>1<sup>10</sup></b>

<sup>7</sup> This number includes parents who received positive CF/RF determinations upon reconsideration, parents who received a Notice to Appear based on their child’s positive CF determination, and parents who were placed in Section 240 proceedings due to a rare language.

<sup>8</sup> This number is the aggregate of the number of children who received a positive CF determination, the number of children who received a negative CF determination, and children who were referred to 240 proceedings without interview because of a rare language.

<sup>9</sup> This number includes children who received a positive CF determination, children who received a Notice to Appear as a dependent on their parent’s positive CF determination, and children who were placed in Section 240 proceedings due to a rare language.

<sup>10</sup> This number includes children granted asylum as a dependent on their parent’s asylum application.



<b>Removals</b>	Number of class members who have been returned to their country of origin as a result of waiving the settlement procedures	<b>101 Parents<sup>11</sup></b>
-----------------	--	---------------------------------

**D. Parents Who ICE Records Reflect Have Absconded After Being Released**

<b>Absconders</b>	Number of Parents who absconded from enrollment in ATD (Alternatives To Detention)	<b>159<sup>12</sup></b>
-------------------	--	-------------------------

**E. Update Regarding Identification of Expanded Class Members**

On April 25, 2019, the Court approved Defendants' Plan for identifying members of the expanded class. In order to keep the Court updated on Defendants' progress with regard to this plan, Defendants submit the attached declarations from Jallyn Sualog, Jay Visconti, and Dawnisha Helland.

With regard to the HHS file review, HHS also provides the following update. HHS has determined the correct number of cases to be reviewed was 32,972 (and not 47,083 as previously stated). HHS has since completed a preliminary review of all the case files. To ensure accuracy and completeness, however, HHS is currently doing a quality control of the negative results. Because HHS has completed its initial

<sup>11</sup> This number is as of May 28, 2019.

<sup>12</sup> Data from time period of May 4, 2018 to May 24, 2019.

1 review of all the case files, it has discontinued the procurement to hire and train a  
2 team of data scientists and scalable teams of record reviewers.

3 **F. Pending Motion Regarding Released Settlement Class Members**  
4

5 Plaintiffs reached out with one inquiry regarding this issue which Defendants  
6 understand was subsequently resolved. Defendants have heard nothing further from  
7 Plaintiffs regarding this issue and believe this issue to have been resolved.  
8

9 **G. Children Awaiting Placement.**

10 Plaintiffs sent an inquiry on May 15, 2019 regarding nine children who they  
11 believed remained in ORR custody. Defendants have reviewed those cases and  
12 provided a response to Plaintiffs regarding these nine children on June 3, 2019. In  
13 summary, one of the nine children returned to his home country; two children have  
14 potential sponsors who are currently undergoing the TVPRA release process; and  
15 six children either have no available sponsor, or the child has indicated that he or  
16 she does not seek release to the potential sponsors identified.  
17  
18

19 **H. Government Processes, Procedures, and Tracking, for Separations Since**  
20 **June 26, 2018.**

21 *1. Data Requested by Plaintiffs*  
22

23 Defendants are providing Plaintiffs updated reports containing information  
24 regarding parents and children separated since the Court's June 26, 2018  
25 preliminary-injunction order on the Friday following the filing of each JSR.  
26  
27  
28

1                   2. Processes and Procedures

2                   Defendants have provided a summary outline memorializing the processes,  
3 procedures, tracking, and communication between the agencies that have been  
4 adopted by the agencies since June 26, 2018. The outline also included an overview  
5 of the options for separated parents and children to obtain information about  
6 reunification options. Defendants also have reached out to representatives for the  
7 Bureau of Prisons and the U.S. Marshals Service to ensure that those entities are  
8 included in discussions regarding these processes and procedures.  
9

10  
11                   On March 4, 2019, Plaintiffs and lawyers for the children’s legal service  
12 providers sent comments and questions in response to the government’s proposals.  
13 Defendants reviewed those comments and questions, and the parties met and  
14 conferred on April 15, 2019, regarding those inquiries. On May 28, 2019, Plaintiffs  
15 sent additional commends related to Defendants’ proposals. Defendants are  
16 considering those comments and will follow up with Plaintiffs as soon as possible.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **II. MS. L. PLAINTIFFS' POSITION**

2 **1. Centralized Database and Procedures and Standards to Govern Further**  
3 **Separations**

4 On May 28, Plaintiffs provided Defendants with a counterproposal regarding  
5 the protocols for inter-agency information sharing about continued family  
6 separations. Defendants are reviewing that counterproposal. The parties will  
7 continue to meet and confer on this issue.

8 **2. Deported Parents and Settlement**

9 On June 6, Plaintiffs filed a motion seeking relief for 21 deported parents  
10 who were separated from their children. *See* ECF No. 418. As set out in that  
11 motion, the parents submitted applications for return pursuant to the Settlement  
12 Agreement, which Defendants denied. These parents are seeking Court  
13 intervention to allow them a path to reunify with their children in the United States.

14 Plaintiffs request that the Court set a briefing schedule and hearing date for  
15 the motion.

16 **3. Identification of Expanded Class Members**

17 As of 5:30 p.m. on June 6, when Plaintiffs sent their part of the JSR to the  
18 government, Plaintiffs have not received any information about members of the  
19 expanded class.

20 **4. Steering Committee Progress**

21 The Steering Committee has successfully contacted and confirmed the  
22 preferences of nearly all removed parents with respect to reunifications. On May  
23 10, the government reported that, as of May 1, 23 children with removed parents  
24 remained in ORR custody. The Committee has advised the government that no  
25 preference will be forthcoming for one of those parents due to complex and  
26 individualized family circumstances, leaving 22 children with removed parents in  
27 the operative group. The Committee has delivered preferences for the parents of 21  
28

1 of those children. The parent of the remaining child is seeking to return to the  
 2 United States under the Settlement Agreement, and the Steering Committee has  
 3 advised the government that the delivery of a parental reunification election in this  
 4 case will therefore be delayed.

5 The status of efforts based on the operative group of 22 children in ORR  
 6 custody with removed parents appears in the table immediately below.<sup>13</sup>

7 8 9	Removed parents identified by the government to the Steering Committee as of 5/10/2019	22
10	[REDACTED]	
11	Parent's final preference has been communicated to the government	21 <sup>14</sup>
12	• Parent has elected reunification in Country of Origin	0
13	• Parent has elected to waive reunification in Country of Origin	21
14	Total number of cases where the parent seeks to return to the U.S.	1

15  
 16 **E. Children Whose Parents Have Submitted Preferences and Are Still Detained**

17  
 18 In February, the Steering Committee began sending the government  
 19 information about children who remained in ORR care months after the Steering  
 20 Committee had submitted their final reunification election. As of May 1, 21  
 21 children of class members remained in ORR care who had previously submitted

22 <sup>13</sup> As discussed at the October 25 Status Conference, Plaintiffs are reporting a set  
 23 of detailed numbers based on the government's most recent list of children in ORR  
 24 custody with removed parents. The numbers presented in this Joint Status Report  
 25 are based on the numbers provided by the government in its May 10 data exchange  
 to Plaintiffs, excluding the one child for which no preference will be forthcoming.

26 <sup>14</sup> The Steering Committee determined that for one child it was  
 27 appropriate to report the preference of a non-removed parent because the Steering  
 Committee was unable to reach the removed parent.

28

1 final reunification elections. Six of the 21 have now been in ORR care more than  
2 nine months after the Steering Committee submitted their final reunification  
3 election with a sponsor identified. The parties will continue to meet and confer on  
4 this issue.

5 **III. MMM-Dora Plaintiffs' Report Regarding Settlement Implementation**

6  
7 The parties continue to work together to implement the settlement agreement  
8 approved on November 15, 2018. Counsel for Plaintiffs are providing the  
9 government with signed waiver forms as they are received from class members. The  
10 parties continue to meet and confer on issues related to settlement implementation  
11 as they arise, including issues regarding interviews for class members, statistical  
12 reporting, and various individualized issues. In addition, the parties continue to work  
13 through how best to identify and contact class members who may be in need of  
14 settlement relief. The parties will alert the Court of any issues that require the Court's  
15 guidance.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 DATED: June 6, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

2 /s/ Lee Gelernt \_\_\_\_\_

3 Lee Gelernt\*

4 Judy Rabinovitz\*

5 Anand Balakrishnan\*

6 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
7 FOUNDATION

8 125 Broad St., 18th Floor

9 New York, NY 10004

10 T: (212) 549-2660

11 F: (212) 549-2654

12 *lgelernt@aclu.org*

13 *jrabinovitz@aclu.org*

14 *abalakrishnan@aclu.org*

15 Bardis Vakili (SBN 247783)

16 ACLU FOUNDATION OF SAN DIEGO  
17 & IMPERIAL COUNTIES

18 P.O. Box 87131

19 San Diego, CA 92138-7131

20 T: (619) 398-4485

21 F: (619) 232-0036

22 *bvakili@aclusandiego.org*

23 Stephen B. Kang (SBN 292280)

24 Spencer E. Amdur (SBN 320069)

25 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
26 FOUNDATION

27 39 Drumm Street

28 San Francisco, CA 94111

T: (415) 343-1198

F: (415) 395-0950

*skang@aclu.org*

*samdur@aclu.org*

*Attorneys for Petitioners-Plaintiffs*

*\*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General  
SCOTT G. STEWART  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
WILLIAM C. PEACHEY  
Director  
WILLIAM C. SILVIS  
Assistant Director

/s/ Sarah B. Fabian  
SARAH B. FABIAN  
Senior Litigation Counsel  
NICOLE MURLEY  
Trial Attorney  
Office of Immigration Litigation  
Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044  
(202) 532-4824  
(202) 616-8962 (facsimile)  
sarah.b.fabian@usdoj.gov

ADAM L. BRAVERMAN  
United States Attorney  
SAMUEL W. BETTWY  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

*Attorneys for Respondents-Defendants*



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Ms. L, et al.,

Petitioners-Plaintiffs,

vs.

U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS  
ENFORCEMENT, et al.,

Respondents-Defendants.

Case No. 18cv428 DMS MDD

**DECLARATION OF DAWNISHA  
HELLAND OUTLINING ICE ROLE IN  
PROCESS OF IDENTIFYING  
EXPANDED CLASS MEMBERS**

**DECLARATION OF DAWNISHA HELLAND**

I, Dawnisha Helland, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and based upon my personal knowledge and information made known to me from official records and reasonably relied upon in the course of my employment, hereby declare as follows, relating to the above-captioned matter:

1. I am a Detention and Deportation Officer acting as a National Juvenile Coordinator in the Juvenile and Family Residential Management Unit (JFRMU), Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO), U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), located in Washington, DC. I began my tenure with the Department of Homeland Security in 2008, with the U.S. Border Patrol (USBP) as a Border Patrol Agent within the El Centro Sector. Currently I am the lead National Juvenile Coordinator, managing the

family separation and reunification portfolio.

2. My primary responsibilities include case reviews for family separations and reunifications, data quality for family separation cases, and coordination for family reunifications.

3. ERO is one of the two principal operational components in ICE, the other being the Office of Homeland Security Investigations (HSI). ERO oversees programs and conducts operations to identify and apprehend removable aliens, to detain these individuals when necessary, and to remove illegal aliens from the United States. One program which ERO oversees is JFRMU. JFRMU addresses issues confronting unaccompanied alien children (UAC) and alien family groups who come into ERO custody. JFRMU develops policies sensitive to the various vulnerabilities and needs of these populations. JFRMU trains, monitors, and advises Field Office Juvenile Coordinators (FOJCs). FOJCs serve as subject matter experts on juvenile and family matters in their respective field offices.

4. On Friday, March 8, 2019, this Court ordered a modification of the previously certified class to include all adult parents who entered the United States at or between designated ports of entry on or after July 1, 2017.

5. The Respondent-Defendants in this case are involved in developing and coordinating the identification process for expanded class members with the other named Defendants. ICE takes its reporting requirements very seriously. Only after


review of this information through a labor intensive, manual verification on a line by line basis, can the agencies identify expanded class members and confidently report an accurate number to the Court.

6. As a part of the process of identifying expanded class members, ICE receives lists from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) which contain information from both HHS records and CBP records on potential class members. A confirmed separation is agreement among all three agencies that the available information indicates that the case is a separation of an adult and child.

7. Once a separation is confirmed, the agencies must further review the case to determine if the adult is a parent and if the parent is a class member or is excluded from the class. To initiate this review, ICE queries its internal databases to collect and insert data regarding a separated adult's biographical information, criminal history, detention status, detention location or release information, removal information (if applicable), and last known contact info. That information will then be shared with CBP and HHS so that the agencies can reach a consensus on whether each adult is a class member or is excluded from the class.

8. As of the date of this declaration, ICE has added its information to the first two lists of confirmed separations and anticipates sharing those lists with CBP and HHS for class membership review within the next week. I declare that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: June 06, 2019



---

**Dawnisha Helland**  
**Detention and Deportation Officer, National Juvenile Coordinator**  
**Immigration and Customs Enforcement**  
**Enforcement and Removal Operations**  
**Juvenile and Family Residential Management Unit**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Ms. L., *et al.*, )  
    *Plaintiffs,* )  
                          ) )  
                          ) )  
v. )  
                          ) )  
U.S. Immigration and Customs )  
Enforcement, *et al.*, )  
    *Defendants.* )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

No. 3:18-cv-00428-DMS-MDD

**DECLARATION OF JAY VISCONTI**

I, Jay Visconti, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and based upon my personal knowledge and information made known to me from official records and reasonably relied upon in the course of my employment, hereby declare as follows, relating to the above-captioned matter:

1. I am an Assistant Chief with the United States Border Patrol (USBP) currently serving in the capacity as a Senior Advisor to the Chief Operating Officer and Senior Official Performing the Functions and Duties of the Commissioner, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), Department of Homeland Security. I have been in this role since July, 2016. In this role, I am responsible for directly supporting and advising the Chief Operating Officer and Senior Official Performing the Functions and Duties of the Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner on issues such as USBP’s strategic, operational and tactical plans, policies and procedures governing threats, such as: terrorist organizations, criminal organizations, illegal immigration/human smuggling, narcotics and contraband smuggling, transnational gangs, threats to legitimate trade and travel, and imported consumer products jeopardizing public safety. An additional role that I perform is as the Director of the CBP Statistical Tracking and Analysis Team


(STAT), which provides high-level analysis and reporting into CBP's immigration and seizure data. Because of my work with the CBP STAT, and my previous position as the Assistant Chief over the USBP's Statistics and Data Integrity (SDI) Branch, I was involved in the previous *Ms. L* reunification efforts, and was again called upon to be the CBP Operational Lead for the government's plan to account for the members of the expanded class.

2. Prior to serving in this position, I was the Assistant Chief over the USBP SDI Branch, where I provided day to day statistics and analysis to USBP senior leadership as well as ensuring data quality within the USBP data. I have been a U.S. Border Patrol agent since January 2, 1996. The U.S. Border Patrol (USBP) is the operational component of CBP with the responsibility of, among other things, apprehending individuals who enter between the ports of entry.
3. I am familiar with the *Ms. L* litigation, and have personally participated in CBP efforts related to this litigation. In July 2018, I served as CBP's main point of contact in the interagency effort to identify and reunify the children of *Ms. L* class members. During this role, I worked closely with the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). I worked with the HHS ASPER Data team to reconcile unaccompanied alien children file records identified by HHS as possible separations with the relevant data in CBP's electronic systems of records.
4. I am also familiar with CBP's efforts to record and track family separations in CBP's electronic systems of records, and work closely with relevant individuals in both the

U.S. Border Patrol and Office of Field Operations on such efforts. I also communicate regularly with my colleagues at ICE Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO).

5. As of June 3rd, 2019, CBP has received six lists from HHS to review for potential expanded class members. CBP compares the information on the HHS lists of potential expanded class members with the data in CBP's systems of records to determine which individuals on the lists are confirmed separations.
6. These lists were provided by HHS on a rolling basis, and CBP is handling them on a rolling basis.
7. Between May 21 and June 3, 2019, CBP completed review on and sent to ICE three updated lists based off of the original six lists from HHS. CBP continues to work on the three other lists.
8. I continue to have regular contact with the CBP teams actually doing the data verifications, and with ICE and HHS to assure that the process of verifying the names is progressing smoothly, and any issues are timely addressed.
9. I make this declaration in order to provide an update on the progress CBP is making as part of the government's plan to identify the members of the expanded class, and to explain CBP's role in the process, following my previous declaration.
10. I declare that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed this 6th day of June, 2019.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jay Visconti  
Senior Advisor  
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3  
4 MS. L., et al.

5 Petitioners-Plaintiffs,

6 vs.

7 U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS  
8 ENFORCEMENT, et al.,

9 Respondents-Defendants.

Case No. 18cv428 DMS MDD

Hon. Dana M. Sabraw

10  
11 **SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF JALLYN SUALOG, DEPUTY**  
12 **DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT**

13 I, Jallyn Sualog, declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that  
14 my testimony below is true and correct:

15 1. I am the Deputy Director of the Office of Refugee Resettlement ("ORR"), an  
16 Office within the Administration for Children and Families ("ACF"), U.S. Department of  
17 Health and Human Services ("HHS").

18 2. The purpose of this Supplemental Declaration is to amend a statement in the  
19 Declaration that I submitted on February 7, 2019 in support of the Government's Opposition  
20 to Plaintiffs' Motion to Clarify Scope of the Ms. L Class. *See* ECF No. 351-1.

21 3. In my February Declaration, I stated that "my data team pull[ed] the number  
22 of unaccompanied alien children ("UACs") in ORR care and custody for the time period of  
23 July 1, 2017 through June, 2018. The data team identified a total of 47,083 UACs in ORR  
24 care and custody for that period." *Id.* at ¶13.

25 4. On April 25, 2019, Commander Jonathan White submitted a supplemental  
26 declaration in support of the Government's Proposed Expanded *Ms. L.* Class Identification  
27 Plan, which was designed to identify substantially all class members in the expanded class  
28 period within 6 months. In his declaration, Commander White indicated that the



1 Government would “review the case files for the approximately 47,000 children from the  
2 class expansion period.” *See* ECF No. 403-1 at ¶11. Apparently, Commander White relied  
3 on my February Declaration.

4 5. However, during the review of case files to identify the potential class  
5 members for the expanded class period, our data team determined that the initial estimate  
6 of 47,083 UACs provided in my previous declaration was overbroad in two respects. First,  
7 it included 2,583 children who were referred to ORR prior to July 1, 2017 but who were  
8 still in ORR custody on July 1, 2017. Those children fall outside of the expanded class  
9 period. Second, the initial number of 47,083 included 11,528 children who were admitted  
10 into ORR care between July 1, 2017 and June 25, 2018, but who were already reviewed for  
11 indication of separation for the original class period. As a result, the correct number of  
12 UACs that fall within the expanded class period is actually 32,972.

13 6. In other words, my initial count of 47,083 was overbroad, because it included  
14 a total of 14,111 children who did not fit within the parameters of the modified class as  
15 described in this Court’s March 8, 2019 Order. *See* ECF No. 386.

16 7. Consequently, HHS has reviewed the case file records of 32,972 children to  
17 identify the possible children of potential class members referred to and discharged from  
18 ORR care between July 1, 2017 and June 25, 2018.

19 Executed on June 5, 2019.

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



Jallyn Sualog