

Exhibit O

(Doc. No. 43)

8 April Draft Opinion from DoJ - OMS Concerns

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

NR

Original Text of [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
[redacted] (b)(6)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Sent by: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
[redacted] (b)(6)
04/11/2005 10:12 AM

To:
cc:

[redacted]
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Subject: 8 April Draft Opinion from DoJ - OMS Concerns

[redacted]
(b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
[redacted] (b)(6)

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

8 April Draft Opinion from DoJ - OMS Concerns

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(5)

Simply put,

OMS

is not in the business of saying what is acceptable in causing discomfort to other human beings, and will not take on that burden.

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

8 April Draft Opinion from DoJ - OMS Concerns

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(5) OMS did not

review
or vet these techniques prior to their introduction, but rather came into
this
program with the understanding from your Office and DoJ that they were
already
determined as legal, permitted and safe. We see this current iteration as a
reversal of that sequence, and a relocation of those decisions to OMS. If
this
is the case, that OMS has now the responsibility for determining a
procedure's
legality through its determination of its safety, then we will need to review
all procedures in that light given this new responsibility.

(b)(5)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~