1	BETTS, PATTERSON & MINES P.S.	
2	Christopher W. Tompkins (WSBA #1168	36)
	CTompkins@bpmlaw.com	
3	701 Pike Street, Suite 1400	
4	Seattle, WA 98101-3927	
5	BLANK ROME LLP	
6	Henry F. Schuelke III (admitted pro hac	vice)
	HSchuelke@blankrome.com	
7	1825 Eye St., N.W.	
8	Washington, DC 20006	
9	James T. Smith (admitted pro hac vice)	
10	Smith-jt@blankrome.com	
11	Brian S. Paszamant (admitted pro hac vic	ce)
	Paszamant@blankrome.com One Logan Square, 130 N. 18th Street	
12	Philadelphia, PA 19103	
13	•	
14	Attorneys for Defendants	
15	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
16		TRICT OF WASHINGTON
	AT SP	OKANE
17		T
18	SULEIMAN ABDULLAH SALIM,	NO. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ
19	MOHAMED AHMED BEN SOUD, OBAID ULLAH (as personal	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TAKE
	representative of GUL RAHMAN),	JUDICIAL NOTICE
20	representative of GCE ranking it,	
21	Plaintiffs,	Without Oral Argument
22	VS.	June 21, 2017 Expedited Hearing Paguested
23		Expedited Hearing Requested
	JAMES ELMER MITCHELL and	
24	JOHN "BRUCE" JESSEN,	
25	Defendants.	
		Betts Betternen
	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TAKE	Patterson Mines
		- i - One Convention Place Suite 1400
	NO. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ	701 Pike Street Seattle, Washington 98101-3927 (206) 292-9988

1141008/139114.00602/105735568v.1

34

56

7 8

9

10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

2425

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TAKE
JUDICIAL NOTICE - 1 NO. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

Defendants Dr. James E. Mitchell and Dr. John "Bruce" Jessen ("<u>Defendants</u>") move pursuant to FED. R. EVID. 201 for an Order taking judicial notice of the fact that (1) a terrorist attack occurred on September 11, 2001 at the World Trade Center in New York City, New York, the Pentagon in Arlington, Virginia and on Flight 93, which crashed near Shanksville, Pennsylvania (the "<u>9/11 Attacks</u>"); (2) the 9/11 Attacks were planned and carried out by the terrorist group al-Qaeda; and (3) 2,996 people were killed and over 5,000 people were injured as a result of the 9/11 Attacks.

I. INTRODUCTION

The United States was shocked when, on the morning of September 11, 2001, al-Qaeda and its operatives launched a sophisticated, coordinated and well-financed set of attacks intending to bring down the leading commercial, political and military institutions of the United States. The events of that date are well-documented and not subject to reasonable dispute. Although many bore personal witness to the 9/11 Attacks, few can attest to the details of three separate attacks, the parties responsible for the attacks, or the devastating human toll that resulted. As such, Defendants ask that the Court take judicial notice thereof, including that the attacks occurred, that al-Qaeda was responsible for those attacks and that 2,996 died and over 5,000 people were injured as a result of the 9/11 Attacks.

Defendants have asked that Plaintiffs would stipulate to these facts, but Plaintiffs have refused. *See* Decl. of Brian Paszamant at ¶¶ 3-4.

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

II. ARGUMENT

"Traditionally, judicial notice has been used to eliminate the need for formal proof by the introduction of evidence of certain matters which either are so universally known and accepted as to be beyond doubt or matters which, while not universally known, are so certain [] as to be beyond doubt." 60 Am. Jur. Proof of Facts 3d 175 (2001). A Court may take judicial notice of adjudicative facts that are not subject to reasonable dispute where (1) they are generally known within the Court's territorial jurisdiction; or (2) they can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned. *See* FED. R. EVID. 201(a)-(b). The facts surrounding the 9/11 Attacks, including those about which Defendants seek judicial notice, meet the test enunciated in Rule of Evidence 201. *See In re Sept. 11 Litig.*, 751 F.3d 86, 90 (2d Cir. 2014) (taking judicial notice of the attacks of September 11, 2001 because they are "not subject to reasonable dispute," are "generally known within the trial court's territorial jurisdiction," and "can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned [here, the 9/11 Commission Report].").

1. The 9/11 Attacks and Their Results are "Adjudicative Facts" Not Subject to Reasonable Dispute

An "adjudicative fact" – as opposed to a "judicial" one – is a fact "about the parties or the issues to which the law is applied, usually by the jury, in the trial of a case." *Savage Logistics, LLC v. Savage Servs. Corp.*, No. CV-15-5015-EFS, 2015 WL 6141323, at *1 (E.D. Wash. Oct. 19, 2015) (citing FED. R. EVID. 201(a), Adv. Comm. Note to 1972 amendment). "Legislative facts, by contrast, are facts

- 2 -

4

5

3

6

7 8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TAKE
JUDICIAL NOTICE - 3 NO. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

which in some fashion relate to determination and interpretation of applicable law." 60 Am. Jur. Proof of Facts 3d at 175. The 9/11 Attacks, including who was responsible therefor and the deaths and other casualties that resulted, are adjudicative facts; absent judicial notice, the jury would typically make a factual finding about these matters, to which the law would be applied.

Furthermore, the three attacks carried out on September 11, 2001 are not subject to reasonable dispute. Millions of Americans, and perhaps billions worldwide, witnessed the events of September 11, 2001. The subsequent claims of responsibility by Usama bin Laden and the radical terrorist group al-Qaeda have been the subject of significant news coverage and were corroborated in the 9/11 Commission's Report on those attacks. Finally, the death toll and the extent of the casualties resulting from the events has been well-documented. Thus, these facts are amenable to judicial notice pursuant to FED. R. EVID. 201.

2. The 9/11 Attacks and Their Results are Generally Known Within the Court's Territorial Jurisdiction

That the 9/11 Attacks occurred is known world-wide. They are a matter of common-knowledge; often referred to in shorthand. As a global news event, the 9/11 Attacks are generally known within the State of Washington, including its Eastern District. In addition, it is generally known within this jurisdiction that al-Qaeda has claimed responsibility for the attacks and that the death toll and injury figures from the attacks numbered into the many thousands. Thus, the Court is authorized to take judicial notice of these facts, none of which are subject to reasonable dispute.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TAKE
JUDICIAL NOTICE - 4 NO. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

3. The 9/11 Attacks and Their Results Can Be Accurately and Readily Determined from Sources Whose Accuracy Cannot Reasonably Be Questioned

Each of the three facts about which Defendants ask the Court to take judicial notice are well-documented by credible sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned. First, the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States (also known as the 9/11 Commission)—an independent, bipartisan commission created by Congress in 2002, see Public Law 107-306, November 27, 2002—published "The 9/11 Commission Report." govinfo.library.unt.edu /911/report/911Report.pdf. This report examined the events leading up to, the lasting effects of and the parties responsible for the 9/11 Attacks. It concluded that al-Qaeda, led by Usama bin Laden, was responsible for the attacks. Courts have recognized the reliability of the 9/11 Commission Report, and have taken judicial notice of its contents. See, e.g., In re September 11 Litigation, 931 F.Supp.2d 496, 512 (S.D. N.Y. Mar. 20, 2013). Other Courts have called the 9/11 Attacks "acts of war against the United States," and have named al-Qaeda responsible for the attacks. Id.; see also Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, 542 U.S. 507 (2004); *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld*, 548 U.S. 557 (2006).

Separately, the Washington Post and CNN have each reported the total number of fatalities resulting from the 9/11 Attacks as 2,996. *See* Brad Plumer, *Nine Facts About Terrorism in the United States Since 9/11*, Washington Post, (Sep. 11, 2013), www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2013/09/11/nine-facts-about-terrorism-in-the-united-states-since-911/?utm_term=.4d6b6ba58ee7;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

23

24

25

see also CNN Library, September 11, 2001: Background and Timeline of the Attacks, CNN (Sep. 8, 2016), www.cnn.com/2013/07/27/us/september-11-anniversary-fast-facts/. Myriad other sources have reported the casualty figures as well. See e.g., www.legacy.com/Sept11/Home.aspx (listing names of victims of 9/11). CNN also reports that more than 7,400 individuals applied for relief from the 9/11 Victim Compensation Fund, of whom 5,560 received awards. See www.cnn.com/2013/07/27/us/september-11-anniversary-fast-facts/; see also www.claims.vcf.gov/home/deathclaimsnotifications (listing claimants to the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund). The 9/11 Attacks, including the group responsible and the resulting casualties are each well-documented, and find authority in multiple sources whose accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned. Thus, this Court is permitted, pursuant to FED. R. EVID. 201, to take judicial notice of these facts.

III. CONCLUSION

Defendants' motion should be granted, and the Court should take judicial notice of the 9/11 Attacks, that al-Qaeda was responsible therefor and that 2,996 deaths and over 5,000 injuries resulted therefrom pursuant to FED. R. EVID. 201.

- 5 -

DATED this 22nd day of May, 2017.

BETTS, PATTERSON & MINES, P.S.

By: <u>s/Christopher W. Tompkins</u> Christopher W. Tompkins, WSBA #11686 <u>ctompkins@bpmlaw.com</u> Betts, Patterson & Mines, P.S.

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 165 Filed 05/22/17

1	701 Pike St, Suite 1400
2	Seattle, WA 98101
3	BLANK ROME LLP
4	James T. Smith, admitted pro hac vice
5	smith-jt@blankrome.com Brian S. Paszamant, admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>
6	paszamant@blankrome.com
7	130 N 18th Street
	Philadelphia, PA 19103
8	Henry F. Schuelke III, admitted pro hac vice
9	<u>hschuelke@blankrome.com</u>
10	600 New Hampshire Ave NW
11	Washington, DC 20037
12	Attorneys for Defendants
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Betts

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TAKE
JUDICIAL NOTICE - 6 NO. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

3

45

6

7 8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 22nd day of May, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Emily Chiang echiang@aclu-wa.org ACLU of Washington Foundation 901 Fifth Ave, Suite 630 Seattle, WA 98164	Paul Hoffman hoffpaul@aol.com Schonbrun Seplow Harris & Hoffman, LLP 723 Ocean Front Walk, Suite 100 Venice, CA 90291
Andrew I. Warden Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov Senior Trial Counsel Timothy A. Johnson Timothy.Johnson4@usdoj.gov Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Ave NW Washington, DC 20530	Steven M. Watt, admitted pro hac vice swatt@aclu.org Dror Ladin, admitted pro hac vice dladin@aclu.org Hina Shamsi, admitted pro hac vice hshamsi@aclu.org ACLU Foundation 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York, NY 10007
Avram D. Frey, admitted pro hac vice afrey@gibbonslaw.com Daniel J. McGrady, admitted pro hac vice dmcgrady@gibbonslaw.com Kate E. Janukowicz, admitted pro hac vice kjanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com Lawrence S. Lustberg, admitted pro hac vice llustberg@gibbonslaw.com Gibbons PC One Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102	Anthony DiCaprio, admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ad@humanrightslawyers.com Law Office of Anthony DiCaprio 64 Purchase Street Rye, NY 10580

- 7 -

By <u>s/Karen L. Pritchard</u>
Karen L. Pritchard

<u>kpritchard@bpmlaw.com</u>
Betts, Patterson & Mines, P.S.

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ