

May 15, 2015

Vote "NO" on Final Passage of the NDAA

Dear Representative:

The undersigned human rights, civil liberties, national security, and religious organizations write to <u>strongly urge you to vote "no" on final passage of the NDAA</u>. The onerous and unwarranted transfer restrictions in the bill would block the closing of the Guantanamo detention facility and continue the practice of indefinite detention without charge or trial. We ask you to vote "no" on final passage this morning.

The Obama administration, both through its Statement of Administration Policy (SAP) on the NDAA and through recent public statements on Guantanamo transfer restrictions, <a href="https://example.com/has="https://example.c

The NDAA's Guantanamo provisions were harmful as reported out of committee, but got even worse on the floor yesterday. As reported out of committee, the bill already reinstated a

series of restrictive overseas transfer restrictions that a bipartisan majority of Congress rejected and replaced in the NDAAs for FY 2014 and FY 2015. In addition, the committee-reported bill added new restrictions, including a bizarre provision that has the IRS tax code set Guantanamo policy by prohibiting transfers to countries that are included as "combat zones" for IRS tax preferences—including allies of the United States, such as Israel, Turkey, Saudi Arabia, and Kuwait. After the House voted last night to pass the Walorski amendment, the bill now extends all of the restrictions for two years, instead of one year. It also adds further restrictions to take away authority traditionally held by the Secretary of Defense and transfer it to the Director of National Intelligence, bars the Secretary of Defense from using a national security waiver for nearly all of the detainees currently left at Guantanamo, and bars transfers to Yemen, even for humanitarian relief of any desperately ill detainee.

<u>Vote "NO" on Final Passage of the NDAA</u>: We strongly urge you to vote "no" on final passage of the NDAA. The administration's SAP enumerates compelling constitutional, national security, and human rights reasons for opposing the transfer restrictions in the NDAA, as reported out of committee. The transfer restrictions in the NDAA would further the inhumane continued detention of men at Guantanamo Bay, squander scarce resources, and—according to top national security officials under both the Bush and Obama administrations—make us less safe. We urge you to vote "NO."

Thank you for your attention to this issue, and please call on any of us with any questions.

Sincerely,

American Civil Liberties Union Amnesty International USA Appeal for Justice Bill of Rights Defense Committee The Center for Victims of Torture The Constitution Project Council on American-Islamic Relations **Defending Dissent Foundation** Friends Committee on National Legislation **Human Rights First** Human Rights Watch National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers National Religious Campaign Against Torture National Security Network Reprieve Win Without War